

Your source for the latest research news

Science News

from research organizations

Why plant 'clones' aren't identical

Date: August 8, 2011

Source: University of Oxford

Summary: A new study of plants that are reproduced by 'cloning' has shown why cloned plants are not identical. Scientists have known for some time that 'clonal' (regenerant) organisms are not always identical. Now researchers believe they have found out why this is the case in plants: the genomes of regenerant plants carry relatively high frequencies of new DNA sequence mutations that were not present in the genome of the donor plant.



FULL STORY



A new study of plants that are reproduced by 'cloning' has shown why cloned plants are not identical. Credit: © Vasiliy Koval / Fotolia A new study of plants that are reproduced by 'cloning' has shown why cloned plants are not identical.

Scientists have known for some time that 'clonal' (regenerant) organisms are not always identical: their observable characteristics and traits can vary, and this variation can be passed on to the next generation. This is despite the fact that they are derived from genetically identical founder cells.

Now, a team from Oxford University, UK, and King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Saudi Arabia, believe they have found out why this is the case in plants: the genomes of regenerant plants carry relatively high frequencies of new DNA sequence mutations that were not present in the genome of the donor plant.

The team report their findings in this week's Current Biology.

'Anyone who has ever taken a cutting from a parent plant and then grown a new plant from this tiny piece is actually harnessing the ability such organisms have to regenerate themselves,' said Professor Nicholas Harberd of Oxford University's Department of Plant Sciences, lead author of the paper. 'But sometimes regenerated plants are not identical, even if they come from the same parent. Our work reveals a cause of that visible variation.'

Using DNA sequencing techniques that can decode the complete genome of an organism in one go (so-called 'whole genome sequencing') the researchers analysed 'clones' of the small flowering plant 'thalecress' (Arabidopsis). They found that observable variations in regenerant plants are substantially due to high frequencies of mutations in the DNA sequence of these regenerants, mutations which are not contained in the genome of the parent plant.

'Where these new mutations actually come from is still a mystery,' said Professor Harberd. 'They may arise during the regeneration process itself or during the cell divisions in the donor plant that gave rise to the root cells from which the regenerant plants are created. We are planning further research to find out which of these two processes is responsible for these mutations. What we can say is that Nature has safely been employing what you might call a 'cloning' process in plants for millions of years, and that there must be good evolutionary reasons why these mutations are introduced.'

The new results suggest that variation in clones of plants may have different underlying causes from that of variation in clones of animals -- where it is believed that the effect of environmental factors on how animal genes are expressed is more important and no similar high frequencies of mutations have been observed.

Professor Harberd said: 'Whilst our results highlight that cloned plants and animals are very different they may give us insights into how both bacterial and cancer cells replicate themselves, and how mutations arise during these processes which, ultimately, have an impact on human health.'

Story Source:

Materials provided by University of Oxford. Note: Content may be edited for style and length.

Journal Reference:

 Caifu Jiang, Aziz Mithani, Xiangchao Gan, Eric J. Belfield, John P. Klingler, Jian-Kang Zhu, Jiannis Ragoussis, Richard Mott, Nicholas P. Harberd. Regenerant Arabidopsis Lineages Display a Distinct Genome-Wide Spectrum of Mutations Conferring Variant Phenotypes. Current Biology, 2011; DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.07.002 University of Oxford. "Why plant 'clones' aren't identical." ScienceDaily. ScienceDaily, 8 August 2011. </br><www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2011/08/110804212931.htm>.

RELATED STORIES

Noisy Gene Atlas to Help Reveal How Plants 'Hedge Their Bets' in Race for Survival

Jan. 24, 2019 — Plant scientists have built a gene expression atlas that maps the 'noisy genes' of genetically identical plants. This is helping to explain why 'twin' plants, with identical ... **read more** »



Elucidating the Chara Genome: Implications for Emergence of Land Plants in Paleozoic Era

Sep. 6, 2018 — Charophyceae are relatives of land plants, whose genomes have been envisioned to contain important information to understand how land plants evolved from their ancestors in the Paleozoic era. ... **read more** »

Tracing a Plant's Steps: Following Seed Dispersal Using Chloroplast DNA

Dec. 14, 2017 — Researchers have developed a new tool to sequence chloroplast DNA from hundreds of plants at once, to learn more about how plant populations move. This tool, CallHap, makes it cheaper and easier to ... **read more** »

New Target Found in Search for New, More Effective Herbicide

Feb. 11, 2016 — A new target in plants for the development of new herbicides for use by farmers and gardeners has been identified by researchers studying an enzyme in plants called DNA gyrase. In plants, DNA gyrase ... **read more** »

FROM AROUND THE WEB

Below are relevant articles that may interest you. ScienceDaily shares links with scholarly publications in the Trend-MD network and earns revenue from third-party advertisers, where indicated.

Free Subscriptions

Get the latest science news with ScienceDaily's free email newsletters, updated daily and weekly. Or view hourly updated newsfeeds in your RSS reader:

Email Newsletters

RSS Feeds

Follow Us

Keep up to date with the latest news from ScienceDaily via social networks:

- f Facebook
- ✓ Twitter
- 8+ Google+
- in LinkedIn

Have Feedback?

Tell us what you think of ScienceDaily -- we welcome both positive and negative comments. Have any problems using the site? Questions?

- Leave Feedback
- Contact Us

About This Site | Staff | Reviews | Contribute | Advertise | Privacy Policy | Editorial Policy | Terms of Use

Copyright 2019 ScienceDaily or by other parties, where indicated. All rights controlled by their respective owners. Content on this website is for information only. It is not intended to provide medical or other professional advice. Views expressed here do not necessarily reflect those of ScienceDaily, its staff, its contributors, or its partners. Financial support for ScienceDaily comes from advertisements and referral programs, where indicated.